



MINUTES
Coburg CITY COUNCIL

Regular Session

July 14, 2015 – 7:00 P.M.

Coburg City Hall

91136 North Willamette St., Coburg

COUNCIORS PRESENT: Mayor, Jae Pudewell. Councilors, Sharyl Abbaspour, Jerry Behney, Patrick Kocurek, Don Nelson, Steve Stearns, Councilor Ray Smith was absent

STAFF PRESENT: Petra Schuetz, Sammy Egbert, Ann Heath, Milo Mecham

RECORDED BY: Robin Adair

1. **CALL TO ORDER:** Mayor Pudewell called the meeting of the Coburg City Council to order at 7:00 p.m.
2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE:** Those present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.
3. **ROLL CALL FOR QUORUM:** Robin Adair called the roll and affirmed that a quorum was present.
4. **SPECIAL GUEST:**
 - a. Travel Oregon – The speaker was not present.
 - b. Lane County Mitigation – this will move to the bottom of the agenda under New Business.
5. **MAYOR COMMENTS:** Mr. Mayor spoke on roles and responsibilities of the Mayor, City Councilors and City Staff. He advised citizens to look at the Coburg Charter where this can be found. He spoke of transparency and said he has been accused of not being transparent or forthcoming and that this was never his intention. He also spoke on agenda items and how they come about, and types of actions, communications and viewpoints. He spoke more on Councilor and City responsibilities and told citizens if they feel City ordinances need changed please speak up.
6. **AGENDA REVIEW:** Schuetz said a couple additions; there is a map of local plan mitigation status. There are also two emails to the City recorder in regards to the medicinal marijuana issue.

7. CONSENT AGENDA:

Councilor Behney moved to approve the consent agenda. This was seconded by Councilor Abbaspour and without any further discussion passed unanimously with a 5:0 vote.

8. **CITIZENS TESTIMONY:** Mayor reminded everyone of the rules. Comments need to be kept to three minutes. If someone has already said what you want to say just say I agree with whoever else said it. But your comments will be on record.

Janice Johnston, 91032 S. Willamette Street, Coburg. Ms. Johnston stated she is concerned about the dispensary going in for several reasons. She stated she was not told there was going to be a dispensary in Coburg; she had to hear it through the grapevine. She spoke with Katie Thield, and Katie told her to ask about a conditional use permit. This might allow the city to vote if it isn't a permanent thing. She said she is distressed a dispensary would be put right in the heart of Coburg, when she can't see the benefits from it, and it's going into a house that has been designated as historic. Ms. Johnston said she is concerned for the kids, are we going to need more police, and will our property values plummet. She expressed that the whole feel of the town is going to change. She said Katie went to the 4th of July event in Harrisburg where they have approved a dispensary and she couldn't even find a place to sit without marijuana in her and her kids faces. There is a law about smoking publicly; are we going to hire more police to enforce this. What happens if we build another school, we will have to build the school way out because it can't be within 1000 feet of the dispensary.

Aaron Oxenreider, 91205 N. Harrison, Coburg. Mr. Oxenreider said like Ms. Johnston, he too is concerned about just recently hearing about this. He and his wife have four teenagers and love raising their kids in Coburg but wonder if Coburg will still be a safe place to stay if the medical dispensary comes into town. There are already dispensaries in the Lane County area people can go and get what they need. Coburg is a tight and small community and he has huge concerns with this. He said their house is close to the site where the dispensary will be. He expressed he would like opportunity to vote or open up other avenues for discussion on this.

Sharon Walker, 91151 Triple Oaks Drive, Eugene. Ms. Walker said she finds it to be a total injustice we were not made aware of the medical marijuana dispensary in a timely manner or meetings. Tonight is the first meeting some of us were aware of. Our constitution and Coburg Land Use Guidelines provide we should have due process which is 14 days in this case. Citizens deserved a right to hear the case and respond. When a governing board disregards citizens we must respond to that as a serious issue.

Frank Walker, 91151 Triple Oaks Drive, Eugene. Mr. Walker said one of our founding fathers said self-government is not always possible unless citizens are educated sufficiently to enable them to exercise oversight. The Coburg City Plan says at least 14 business days before a hearing, notice of the hearing shall be posted in a newspaper of general circulations. Along with that at least 10 days prior to the hearing date, notice shall be mailed to all property owners of record within 100 feet of the site. For the June 17th meeting, a 14 day notice was not given, only a two day notice was given to the public. Proper notice was not given to the public to voice their concerns and opinions. Mr. Walker said he would like to

see a town hall meeting on this matter. The City has known about the dispensary since the end of April. School was in session. Why not inform the school so they could inform the parents. Since April two newsletters have been published and neither had any mention of this in them. Why wasn't this mentioned in the newsletters? A 51.49 percent vote in Coburg approved legalizing marijuana but I doubt they would want the dispensary in their town. I would like you to consider this. Many would like to have nuclear power plants for cheaper rates, but asked if they would want it in their town, they would say no.

Guy Weese, 32469 Coburg Bottom Loop Road, Coburg. Mr. Weese said he would like to voice on the other side of this issue. We already have alcohol and tobacco dispensary's, and marijuana is just another thing responsible adults can purchase. Better to have a tenant than empty building.

Ann Alexander, 32675 Christian Way, Coburg. Ms. Alexander said she believes the medical marijuana dispensary will take away from the quaint small town atmosphere and that is why she moved here; she thought it was a quality town. She said the location of the Matthew house is close to the school bus stop which is inappropriate. She believes this will also attract bikers, plus noise level will be high. She said she concurs with all those who have spoken and is against the dispensary coming to Coburg.

Terry Larkin, 91130 Austin Street, Coburg. Three years ago he and his wife moved back to Oregon and wanted to live in the Willamette Valley. He said they were enchanted by Coburg and the historical homes, antique shops and events such as the Hot Rod Show, Quilt Show and Antique shows. He is concerned the dispensary will change the historic nature of the community. He asked the question, what do we want Coburg to be like in 5 or 10 years? We could end up being just like any other community. He said he and his wife want a warm and friendly place to live, like Coburg, and since they have moved here there is some animosity about past decisions. This decision could further polarize the community. There are strong emotions. Consequence of pushing this through on either side will be fear, resentment, and anger. He would like everyone to pull together and have a common vision about the historic, calming-nature of Coburg.

The recorder stopped recording during Mr. Larkin's speech and recording was not resumed until after Council break.

Linda Kocurek, 91096 Austin Street, Coburg. Ms. Kocurek said she is concerned about the dispensary being approved and compared this to problems that have arose in Colorado since legalizing marijuana there. She spoke of the impact on children and higher user rate amongst teenagers since legalized. She talked about the development of young brains and how smoking could hinder that development. She said hospital visits were up because of edibles, there were more workplace incidences and driving under the influence of marijuana. She said her understanding is the current applicant did not meet state eligible requirements. She added that the law allows a city wide vote in a matter like this. She asked Councilors to please put this to a vote. She ended with saying the dispensary store will be a crime magnet.

Janet Starr, 33963 Van Duyn Road, Eugene. Ms. Starr said she believes in medicinal marijuana. She had a daughter who was sick and lost her life 12 years ago. Her daughter smoked marijuana and she and her

husband encouraged it. What she is opposed to is the location of the dispensary. This is a community of antiques, the Golden Years, parade, etc. She said she doesn't like to go by the Matthew house and see steel doors and bars on the windows.

Stephanie Barrtel, 32665 Christian Way, Coburg. Ms. Barrtell continued to read where Mr. Walker left off earlier. What's next, a tattoo shop, bong shop, she talked of rules and said by Coburg allowing this it will be in close proximity to our children on a daily basis and the road it operates on is one of the safest routes. She added she has two teenagers and they walk and ride bikes by the location and it concerns her. She would like to see transparency the Major talked about as we go forward.

Kelly Newsberry, 91041 s Harrison Street, Coburg. Ms. Newsberry lives half a block behind the Matthews house. She said she is for medicinal marijuana but also agrees the location is not good. She said her research shows the individuals opening the dispensary have arrest records in Redding, California, for selling it beyond the scope of just medical and growing it illegally. She said she is concerned when allowed they will start selling it recreational also. She said there will be increased security issues, the location is bad and it should not be in the Central District of Coburg.

Jill Carter, 32648 Christina Way, Coburg. Ms. Carter asked why there was a town hall meeting when an applesauce factory wanted to come to town but not for this issue. She added second hand smoke is not good and if she has to stop coming downtown because of this she will be concerned. She said she would like to discuss this as a community

Rick Turtura, 91053 Abby Road, Coburg. Mr. Turtura accused Mr. Mayor of being arrogant and non-transparent. He said two years ago one cop was let go which left only one and the town was upset and we stood in front of you and said, we need to know about these issues but here we are two years later with an issue and you did nothing to bring this to our attention. You cannot take an issue like this and drop it on us. It sends a message you don't care how we feel.

Jeannie Wallberg, 31960 Coburg Loop, Eugene. Ms. Wallberg said medical marijuana is here to stay and Coburg needs to be aware there will be rules and OLCC is the one who decided to take care of this. She proposed whoever is opening a dispensary, also open a liquor store. It is just another item everyone has to get used. I don't think you need to worry about your kids, there will be rules and everyone is pretty respectful in this community. I'm in favor of it.

Benjamin Eley, 1419 T Street, Springfield. Mr. Eley is the Pastor at the church next to the Matthew house. He said concerns are in regards to religion to children, and teenagers and this influence being so close to where we function as a community.

Richard Leach, 91019 S. Willamette Street, Coburg. Mr. Leach emailed the City Recorder (email is part of public record) to show his support in favor of the dispensary.

Chris Surbaugh, 91125 N. Diamond, Coburg. Mr. Surbaugh emailed the City Recorder (email is part of public record) to say he was in favor of the dispensary and supplying to the ill.

Mr. Mayor said it is not normally a matter of policy to respond to citizen comment but the large turnout was anticipated and he wanted to clarify a few things. This subject has not come before the City Council; it came before the Planning Commission. The issue is not whether or not we wish to have this in our town; the issue is if appealed whether or not the dispensary is an Allowed Use given the existing rules. Permissible Use, Conditional Use, or Allowable Use are zoning codes and interpreted. He said as a summary, this is a period of change and change is difficult. He asked people to be rationale and sensible and recognize change isn't good or bad, it just is. As a Councilor we are walking a tight rope and one challenge is what we can actually do because State makes its own rules and processes and we don't have the resources that we would need should it get overturn by future State rules. We have had discussion about doing code updates; this can cost \$10,000-\$ 20,000. We don't have money to start working on this. This is important but there are more important things our limited funds need to be spent on. Planning interprets rules we have.

Ms. Schuetz, said she wasn't certain what the notification issues were. There was a continuous of record and a second meeting scheduled for July 1st cured the issue of notice requirements. She explained City staff cannot discriminate on which businesses come into Coburg. She went on to explain Land Use Issues and Types. She talked about HB3400 and said the current application was submitted before this bill was passed. She went on to explain the appeal process and 120 day rule. The only people who can file the appeal are those who spoke during Public Testimony at one of the two Planning meetings. There is a \$1000 fee to file an appeal. She told citizens that the City is keeping a watchful eye on this subject. Because there haven't been any administrative rules written yet on this subject it makes it hard for City. She talked about opportunities for prohibition and tax moving forward. The audience was upset they only had nine days to appeal.

Schuetz explained how it is determined which citizens within 100 feet of the Land Use Site get notified. By code they are required to use a Regional Land Use Database. The City has no way of telling who did and didn't receive notices.

Milo Mecham said if you can show you are entitled to receive notice but didn't you can appeal.

Councilor Nelson asked, when did the mother and daughter apply for Land Use? Schuetz said May. Nelson said he has a problem with this. They had not had their final day in court in California, so they could not have filed here until they were cleared of charges in California, because they would not have been eligible for a license. Mr. Mayor said this area of discussion is not an appropriate conversation.

Schuetz said the Oregon Health Authority is responsible for background checks, security, business license, etc. The City has no authority over the process and cannot interfere. The applicants presented a certified copy that they met the initial criteria.

Mecham said this is a medicinal dispensary not recreational. No facility can sell for recreational use until at least October. He added in addition to the 3400 bill, Senate passed bill 460 and is awaiting Governor Signature. If this is signed it will allow medicinal dispensary's to sell for recreational use after October 1st and also allows a city to enact an ordinance until January 2016, prohibiting this.

Mr. Mayor said we have been tracking this since the moratorium was imposed. He said he has attended a number of sessions and so has the City Manager. As things settle out we are planning on having a work session discussion. Work sessions are open to the public. There will be a Public Notice of the work session and a chance for public comment. This will probably start in August.

Mr. Turtura said this is what he is talking about; why didn't you tell us this two months ago. Mr. Mayor said point well taken and he is concerned we have to be cognizant of what we do and don't want a prejudicial newsletter; I haven't known what to say, he said. Mr. Mayor said he is at Nana's Café, 8-10 on the 4th Saturday of every month. Come talk to me, or send me email.

Councilor Stearns said the dispensary is a business and we cannot react to it any differently than how we would react to any other application. It's no different than a bakery wanting to start a business in Coburg; we can't deny this just because someone doesn't like sweets. This is new to us and we don't know how yet to react and as we know more we will let you know.

Councilor Behney told the audience that Councilors appreciate you coming to the meeting and comments. Several of you talked about the notification process and it was followed. A year ago Oregon Health Authority gave cities a chance to accept or file for a moratorium and Coburg didn't accept, and we really didn't know the rules. We err on the side of conservative until we knew the rules. Now we have to follow the rules.

A man in the audience asked if any member of Council has a spouse on the Planning Committee and Behney said he does.

Mecham reminded Councilors of the ex parte' contact and explained in depth what this meant. He advised Councilors and Mayor not to have any discussion on the issue until after a possible appeal. He said take notes if you have ex parte' which can be read into the record. Mecham explained how an appeal process would work; and the process should the City Council decision be appealed; where the appeal would then go and also explained the rights of the applicant.

Councilor Behney asked since his wife is on the Planning Commission would this relationship be considered ex parte'? Mecham said only with he and his spouse have spoken on the issue and it isn't part of public record.

The Mayor called for a break at 8:54 p.m. The meeting was called back to order at 9:06 p.m.

Mr. Mecham told Councilors should they chose to they can waive certain fees, including the appeals fee. Council has in the past waived fees. The danger of waiving an appeals fee if asked is it could be construed as Council taking sides. Council is supposed to be impartial so if a fee is waived you would want to have a good reason for doing it.

Schuetz said multiple appeals cannot be filed. Mecham said anyone who appeared before the Planning Commission can appeal but they would be consolidated by staff or the appellants can get together and file one appeal.

Councilor Nelson asked if there is talk of waiving the appeal fee. He said the applicant has paid all their fees so he doesn't see why we would waive fees for the other side. Mecham said he brought it up because one applicant said they did not have the money, so he thought there might be a request.

Abbaspour said she understood there was an email circulating asking for \$1,000.00 but wasn't sure about this.

Mayor resumed Council business.

9. DEPARTMENT ACTIONS & UPDATES:

A. City Administration:

Schuetz said attachment B was Dan Lindstrom's letter of resignation. He has given 12 years of service to the City. A card will be circulated around for signatures and Mr. Mayor recommended a recognition party for him with a cake when he is feeling better. They can give 30 minutes to this before a Council meeting.

Schuetz gave an update of upcoming City events. She said there is still opportunity to volunteer at the quilt show.

IGA for IT and Web Hosting Services: There was discussion about what was included in the scope of work. It includes the purchase of hardware; and Mr. Mayor said that is part of the \$9,000, correct and Schuetz said yes. Mayor explained reasons for upgrades.

Councilor Kocurek moved to approve the IT and Web Hosting Intergovernmental Agreement with Lane Council or Governments. Councilor Behney seconded this motion. Mayor asked for any discussion and Councilor Nelson asked why we aren't getting competitive bids; he is getting really tired of all the things we do with Lane Council of Government. How do we know we are getting the best for the buck on these when we don't even know what is available. Mr. Mayor said he is working with staff to come back with a Renewal Services and Agreement Schedule, there are a lot. These will be reviewed at a work session, Nelson said he just wants others to be able to come in and present themselves and what they can offer. Mr. Mayor said this can be done at a work session. The motioned passed 3:2 with both Stearns and Nelson being opposed.

Ordinance A-234:

Mr. Mecham reported on this. He said this is the second time before Councilors. At the first meeting Councilors wanted to know why some City franchise fees are 7% and Coburg is proposed at 5%

Attachment C in his packet is an email correspondence he had with Sam Ackley, Chief Operations Officer for Hunter Fiber, where he asked this question. Mr. Ackley responded that 5% is standard they can do

7%. Mecham said he has prepared two possible ordinances, one at 5% and one at 7%. Seven is greater than any other franchises. 5% is the statewide standard.

Mr. Mayor said he feels it is important to have competitive fiber in town and feels it is more important than that extra 2% and is in favor of this.

Stearns asked if we went with 7% would the users pay the extra two and Mecham said yes.

Behney said if it is granted and they bring it in, do we have businesses right now that will use it and Mecham suspected there would be. The alternative is Century Link, which is not true DSL. Some businesses though may be locked into contracts but after that he suspects they will switch when their contracts expire.

Mr. Mayor asked the question, how many businesses haven't located here because we haven't had good communication.

There was some discussion of home internet and Mecham thought if there was enough interest Hunter may consider this. It is a more expensive proposition. Currently they do not offer it but that may be because they have not had demand for it.

Nelson said he has the same objection as before, Mecham is the City Attorney and there is conflict of interest because of touting this franchise with someone who does business with the Lane Council of Governments. At the last hearing you said LCOG does not collect money from Hunter for using the Fiber system, but looking at notes from the Veneta City Council meeting, October 14th, Ingham, the City Manager, says LCOG is still collecting fees on gross revenue sales so we should still see those revenues coming in for money passing hands. Nelson told Mecham you said there was no conflict of interest, but it looks like there is. Mecham said he doesn't know what discussion he is talking about. In Veneta there is a contract with Hunter and LCOG that allows Hunter to use the Fiber. There is not a charge for fiber just a rental charge for the room. Mecham said he is not representing Hunter as their attorney therefore there is no conflict of interest. He added he is not touting the company. Nelson asked why Hunter wasn't at this meeting and Mecham said they elected not to come. Mecham said he is not trying to represent them, they requested a franchise so it was put on the agenda, and as the City Attorney it is my responsibility to review it, and I made changes to correspond with other City franchises.

Councilor Abbaspour moved to adopt Ordinance A-234, AN ORDINANCE GRANTING TO HUNTER COMMUNICATIONS INC, A NON-EXCLUSIVE FRANCHISE AND RIGHT TO CONSTRUCT, OPERATE AND MAINTAIN A FIBER-BASED SERVICE FACILITY; TO OCCUPY CITY RIGHTS-OF-WAY; AND TO PROVIDE FIBER-BASED SERVICES IN THE CITY OF COBURG, OREGON AND SETTING AN EFFECTIVE WITH DATE WITH A FRANCHISE FEE RATE OF 5%. Councilor Kocurek seconded this motion. This motion passed 4:1 with Councilor Nelson being opposed.

B. Finance Report:

Ann Heath reported that the May report is in the packet, it was a pretty simple month. She is looking forward to year end which will give you a lot more answers of how we end up where we are. Ms. Heath gave a short PowerPoint Presentation on balance sheets; why our balance sheets don't always look like the auditors. One of the challenges we have is Springbrook doesn't always update fixed assets on the balance sheet so ours didn't always match the audit report. She is now matching this to know where we are at. She talked about fixed asset, net assets and fund balance. The auditors don't always include net assets in the report. She explained the process of how assets are counted on the reports and the reporting process and how the statement reads. She said cautionary we are doing better, but in June there is a lot that takes place because of the end of the year. Mr. Mayor asked why the negative fund went in the wrong direction and she said because we have more debt. One thing the auditors did in fiscal year 2013, instead of reporting the loan to the sewer capital fund on a line that says "loan to sewer capital fund" they loaded it onto the cash line so nowhere did it say we have a loan from the sewer capital fund to the general fund and I questioned them but never did really understand what they were saying. This year when they sent the preliminary audit report I told them they have to break this out so the loan is clearly listed which it now is as are other loans. The complete report is in the agenda packet. There was more general discussion of the report with Heath giving more information and Mr. Mayor saying what he would like to see. Heath wants to make sure they receive a report Councilors and citizens can understand.

Schuetz asked about school districts and Health said school districts are not allowed extensions for audits so we will always be behind the school districts. It is probably time to go out for RFP after this audit and we should ask how many school districts they do. Mayor would like a local company.

Nelson heard there was a mistake submitted and there was \$70 or 80,000 we didn't get for what is submitted for property tax for this coming year. Heath said that is for a past year and we received our taxes. In the past year instead of filling out only the percentage of taxes, the dollar amount line was also filled so both lines were filled and instead of calling our attention to it the County passed it up to the State and I got a message and called the State and asked them if we could correct the form. This year it has been done correctly.

Schuetz asked if Mayor wanted to take an action on extending the meeting till 9:30 and Mayor thought we needed another 20 minutes, and will check in at 10 and see where we are at. Councilors were okay with this.

Mr. Mayor spoke of a Payback Resolution for paying back the Sewer Capital inter-fund loan. It would acknowledge that an inter-fund loan pay-back of this loan at \$50,000 a year. The resolution provides a formal payback plan as recommended by the auditor. The auditor said we need to put a plan into our budget, it is a formal budget and this year we didn't have one. To appease the auditor we need a Payback Resolution that says we will pay it back at \$50,000 a year for five years.

Councilor Stearns moved to approve Resolution 215-12, A RESOLUTION ADOPTING A FORMAL PLAN FOR THE PAYBACK OF THE SEWER CAPITAL INTER-FUND LOAN. Councilor Nelson seconded this and it then passed with a unanimous vote of 5:0.

C. Planning:

ORDINANCE A-199-E.

Mr. Mayor said from what he understood from our last discussion they ask people to come back with a Goal 14 Exception and asked if we have to have a Public Hearing on this and Mecham said yes. He told Mr. Mayor this is the second reading.

Mayor opened a Public Hearing at 9:50 p.m. reading criteria. There was no ex parte' or conflict of interest declared. Mayor said the first reading of Public Hearing was March 10th and the 2nd reading of Public Hearing was on April 14th, 2015.

Dan Terrill, attorney with the law office of Bill Kloos, 375 W. 4th, Eugene. He said he was here to provide assistance in the Exception Process and answer questions. A lot of the material already submitted had good information and just had elements that needed to be extracted and used and was valuable and useful and helpful in DCLD putting together the Exception Process.

Staff Report: Mecham said there were concerns expressed by DLCD and 1000 Friends of Oregon. The objection included some Agriculture Zoned Land instead of including more of what is called Exception Lands (land outside of UGB but partially developed). And there is an exception to the agriculture zoning on those lands. Mr. Mecham explained the process of Goal 14 and said we consulted with several Land Use attorneys and came to Council with the recommendation you hold one more hearing and take Exception to Goal 14 which you say Goal 14 applies to all rules except this one aspect which is a general law that applies to all the State and for specific reason unique to Coburg it shouldn't be applied to Coburg and you go through the analysis process listing the validity of this Exception.

The Public Hearing closed at 10:05 p.m.

Councilor Abbaspour moved to adopt ORDINANCE A-199-E, AN ORDINANCE EXPANDING THE URBAN GROWTH BOUNDARY, CREATING MEDIUM AND HIGH DENSITY RESIDENTIAL AND MIXED USE PLAN DESIGNATIONS, AND REQUIRING THE DEVELOPMENT OF TAX LOT 105, and LANE COUNTY ASSESSOR'S MAP 16-03-33-00 TO BE SUBJECT TO CHAPTER XV OF THE COBURG ZONING CODE. This was seconded by Behney. Kocurek asked what happens after this part, does it goes to County, and Schuetz said yes. She said we have to get it on the Lane County Planning schedule and went on to explain the process after tonight. TSB will go back at the same time. The vote passed unanimously at 5:0.

ORDINANCE A-155-B, AN ORDINANCE REPEALING ORDINANCE 155- AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. Staff Report: Mecham said this is repealing the old sign ordinance. It is a housekeeping measure. The old sign ordinance was never repealed so right now there are two, by adopting this one it repeals the other we have. Mecham recommended waiving the second reading and adopt this tonight.

Mr. Mayor read the first reading for Ordinance A-155-B, repealing A-155 and declaring an emergency.

A Public Hearing was opened at 10:06 p.m. and closed at 10:07 p.m. because there was no testimony.

Councilor Behney moved to waive the second reading and Councilor Nelson seconded this which then passes 5:0.

Abbaspour moved to adopt ORDINANCE A-155-B, AN ORDINANCE REPEALING ORDINANCE A-155 AND DECLARING AN EMERGENCY. This was seconded by Stearns and then passed unanimously 5:0.


Mayor proposed waiving review of agenda items and moving onto new business.

Hazard Mitigation:

Ms. Schuetz filled City Councilors in on this and the responsibilities of the County and all Cities within the County have a responsibility to have a mitigation plan. The County has one but the City does not. Small Cities got together and said we will be part of this County plan. This is part of the new FEMA regulations and there is a FEMA grant to help with this. She discussed the Hazard Mitigation Plan, and specifically an earthquake, drought, wildfire and snow storm. This needs to be customized and there will be a project management team who will be working on this over the 12-18 months. An individual risk assessment is being done by an outside consultant, OCR West, located in Eugene. In the next couple weeks, the Public Works Director, Schuetz, and Fire and Police Department will get together and talk about this. The two largest threats are if the dam goes down and earthquake.

With no further business the meeting adjourned at the hour of 10:13 p.m.

APPROVED by the Mayor of the City of Coburg this 24th day of September, 2015.



Jae Pudewell, Mayor

ATTEST:



Sammy L. Egbert, City Recorder